Adam Retter <!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

Reflections on making XQMVC platform agnostic

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

...this is just a collection of excited thoughts, and not a well thought out presentation!

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

The Story so far -

- General Idea Comparison
- Take an existing XQuery Application running on MarkLogic and run it on eXist-db

- Looking at the code, we discover it is written on top of the XQMVC framework
- XQMVC is Open Source, but MarkLogic specific Lets port for eXist-db

...or, go a little further and make XQMVC platform agnostic :-)

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

XQMVC Requirements

- XQuery (was Xquery-1.0-ml)
- HTTP Context its the glue!
- Documents Storage, Retrieval and Removal (was fn:doc and xdmp: extensions)
- Collections (was xdmp:directory, not sure why it wasnt fn:collection)
- Node Level Updates (was xdmp: extensions)
- Optional URL Rewriting (was MarkLogic specific)
 e.g. /index.xql?_c=welcome&_f=index -> /welcome/index

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

How to make platform agnostic?

- 1. EXPath and EXQuery
 - Ideal scenario Wraps implementation specifics in standardised functions.
 - Not ready today, and also not widely implemented yet.
- 2. Strategy Pattern (from XQuery Design Patterns by 28msec Inc.)
 - Requires XQuery 1.1 for HoF's (Not widely implemented).
 - Could of used processor specific HoF's, but defeats the point!
- 3. Interface with Processor Specific Modules
 - Generic central wrapper module acts as an Interface to a Processor.
 - One Module for each supported Processor. Contains non-standard code.

Interface with Processor Specific Modules

```
module namespace impl =
   "http://scholarsportal.info/xqmvc/system/
processor/impl/marklogic";

declare function impl:execute-module-function(
   $module-namespace as xs:anyURI,
   $controller-file as xs:anyURI,
   $function-name as xs:string) as item()* {

(: MarkLogic processor specifics i.e. xdmp:eval(...) :)
};
```

```
xqmvc.xqy
```

processor.xqy

```
module namespace processor =
  "http://scholarsportal.info/xgmvc/system/processor";
import module namespace xgmvc-conf =
       "http://scholarsportal.info/xgmvc/config"
       at "../../application/config/config.xgv";
(: choose a processor :)
import module namespace impl =
       "http://scholarsportal.info/xgmvc/system/processor/impl/exist-db"
       at "impl/exist-db/impl.xqv":
(: import module namespace impl =
       "http://scholarsportal.info/xqmvc/system/processor/impl/marklogic"
       at "impl/marklogic/impl.xgv"; :)
declare function processor:execute-module-function(
       $module-namespace as xs:anyURI,
       $controller-file as xs:anyURI.
       $function-name as xs:string) as item()* {
       impl:execute-module-function(
             $module-namespace, $controller-file, $function-name)
};
```

Adam Retter <!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

Issues along the way -

- 1. Processor specific extension functions
 - All processors have different yet similar functions! EXPath aims to solve this.
 - xdmp:set(...) can be replaced with XQuery 1.0 FLOWR or recursion.
 - xdmp:invoke(...) and xdmp:eval(...) are abstracted through processor.xqy
- 2. XQuery 1.0-ml
 - Can be rewritten as XQuery 1.0 for portability (or abstracted).
 - Beware of relaxed namespace rules around module functions and variables
 Find XQST0045 errors and fix.
- 3. Processor specific types (e.g. map:map)
 - Similar to functions can be rewritten using standard datatypes (or abstracted).

Adam Retter <!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

Issues along the way -

- 4. Document Updates
 - xdmp:node-* can be abstracted through processor.xqy
 - Ideally should be XQuery Update Facility 1.0, but not supported by stakeholder processors. Standard has yet to be finalised!

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

Reflections -

- 1. XQuery 1.0 is not enough
 - Additional processor functions needed e.g. http, and eval.
 - EXPath will address this in time.
 - Best approach today is to abstract and contain processor specifics?
- 2. XQuery 1.1 will still not be enough!
 - HoF's will remove almost all uses of eval (enabling better SoC).
 - Support for dynamically loading modules and calling their functions is missing!
 - Will still require some sort of 'evil' eval :-(

<!-- Independent Consultant / eXist-db Developer -->

Status -

- Almost finished. Just optional URL Rewriting remaining.
- Code is available https://xqmvc.googlecode.com/svn/branches/diversify/
- Next release of XQMVC will be platform agnostic.
- XQuery Implementers why not create a module for your processor?
 - Maybe just one hours work!

Questions?